





IAN FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION

AT THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA PETER A. ALLARD SCHOOL OF LAW VANCOUVER CANADA 5 – 8 FEBRUARY 2018

Co-hosted by Queensland University of Technology Law School

RULES

Table of Contents A Competition Committee 2 **B** Team Composition 3 C The Problem 5 D Written Submissions Round 6 **E** Oral Rounds 7 F Outlines of Oral Arguments 7 **G** Moot Procedures 9 H Semi-finals and Final 11 **I** Dispute Resolution 11 Attachment A WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS: MARKING SHEET 12 Attachment B ORAL ROUNDS: INDIVIDUAL MOOT MARKING SHEET 13 Attachment C ORAL ROUNDS: MOOT MARKS-TOTALLING SHEET 14 Attachment D STYLE GUIDE FOR HEADING for WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS ROUND and OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS FOR ORAL ROUNDS 15

A Competition Committee

- 1. The Competition Committee members are the Moot Coordinator appointed by the University of British Columbia Peter A. Allard School of Law for the 2018 competition and nominated representatives of INSOL International; International Insolvency Institute; and QUT Law School.
- 2. Competition Committee members shall not be affiliated with nor assist any team registered to take part in the Competition.
- 3. The Competition Committee
 - a. has the sole discretion to:
 - i. enforce all Rules;
 - ii. interpret the Rules;
 - iii. resolve any disputes that may arise during the Competition; and
 - iv. answer questions about, and clarify, the problem.
 - b. has the power to change or supplement the Rules, if any changes or supplements are necessary. The Rules will be posted on the competition web site, and changes or supplements will be communicated to participating teams as quickly as possible.
- 4. The Competition Committee may, at its discretion, disqualify a team if it considers that there has been any behaviour that is considered a serious violation of these Rules or of the spirit of the Competition.
- 5. Members of the Competition Committee will not judge any Oral Rounds in the moot.
- 6. Materials relevant to the administration of the Competition will be posted on the website < https://www.qut.edu.au/law/about/news-events/international-insolvency-law-moot >.
- 7. Any questions or enquiries about the Competition shall be made in writing (e-mail is satisfactory) to the Competition Committee. If a question or enquiry affects participating teams, the question or enquiry and its answer will be e-mailed to participating teams.
- 8. The Competition Committee's address is -

Ian Fletcher International Insolvency Law Moot Competition Attn: Moot Coordinator University of British Columbia Peter A. Allard School of Law Allard Hall 1822 East Mall Vancouver, BC Canada V6T 1Z1 Email: <fletchermootcoordinator@allard.ubc.ca>

B Team Composition

- 1. The competition is conducted in the English language. It is open to teams from professionally accredited law schools. Each eligible law school may register only one team in the Competition. The closing date for registration is **20 October 2017**.
- 2. Each team shall notify the Moot Coordinator (see Rule A6) of an email address, which will be used for all correspondence and service of documents.
- 3. A team consists of no less than two and no more than four law students, who shall be enrolled as full-time or part-time students either of a first degree in law, including Juris Doctor (JD), or for any postgraduate qualification in law below the level of commencing a doctoral program in the law school they represent at the time of the Competition. However, no team member may have previously been or is currently admitted or licensed to practice law in any jurisdiction at the time of submission of written submissions.
- 4. The same Team must prepare the Written Submissions and appear in the Oral Rounds. Teams shall not alter members after submission of the list of team members, except upon written permission of the Moot Coordinator based on a showing of hardship or a medical certificate. In the Oral Rounds, no substitution will be permitted after the commencement of the first argument unless the number of team members drops below two.
- 5. Each team may have up to two coaches.
- 6. The Written Submissions Round and Oral Rounds shall be the work of the student team members only.
- 7. In the Written Submissions Round, a team may receive the following assistance only on the written submissions:
 - (i) Team members may discuss general principles of international insolvency law with their coach and with others affiliated with their law school.
 - (ii) The coach may provide general feedback on the overall structure, clarity of expression, and persuasiveness of arguments made in drafts but not the final written submission. Coaches may not assist with the actual writing or the research.
- 8. In the Oral Rounds, a team may receive coaching in preparation for the Oral Rounds.
- 9. Only two team members may sit at the Bar Table and present argument during a moot in the Competition. During Oral Rounds, no communication is permitted between counsel and other members of the team or coach. Communication between co-counsel is permitted.
- 10. The use by counsel, whether directly or indirectly, of electronic devices (iPhones, iPads, tablets, laptops or similar) is not permitted at the Bar Table.

- 11. All team members may participate in every aspect of the Competition relating to preparation for the written submissions and the oral rounds, including practice moots, research, and drafting of submissions and outlines.
- 12. Each team will be assigned a team number by the Moot Coordinator. Teams shall identify themselves within their written submissions only by use of this anonymous identifier. During the Oral Rounds, team members shall avoid identifying their law school to the judges.

C The Problem

- 1. The forum is the Supreme Court of Nuzilia.
- 2. The problem will require consideration of the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency (1997) (as adopted by Nuzilia).
- 3. The problem may require consideration of legislation based on the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency (1997) as adopted in jurisdictions listed on the UNCITRAL website: < <u>http://www.uncitral.org/uncitral/en/index.html</u> > and case law on that legislation.
- 4. The problem will be posted on the competition website by **3 October 2017**.
- 5. The same problem will be used for the Written Submissions Round and all rounds of the Oral Round, including the final.
- 6. The current Moot problem may not be used by any participating law school, or any other party, for any reason, including intra-school competitions, without the prior written consent of the Competition Committee.

D Written Submissions Round

- 1. Each team shall prepare ONE set of written submissions on behalf of the Appellant, and ONE set of written submissions on behalf of the Respondent.
- 2. Written submissions shall be typed in Microsoft Word for Windows (with .doc or .docx file extension), unless alternative arrangements are made with the Moot Coordinator. Each team shall give a file name to its Appellant submission in the form: *teamTTAppellantsubmission.doc* and to its Respondent submission in the form: *teamTTRespondentsubmission.doc*.
- 3. Written submissions need no cover sheet or back sheet, but shall be headed in the style in **Attachment D**. The names of the team members, their law school, or any other identifying information shall NOT appear anywhere in the document.
- 4. Teams shall identify themselves within their written submissions only by use of the anonymous identifier given to them in advance by the Moot Coordinator.
- 5. Submissions shall be typed in size 12 Times New Roman font style. The text must be 1.5 line spaced. Submissions shall have a margin of at least 2.5cm on every side of the text.
- 6. Citations must be in footnotes and using a standard legal citation format.
- 7. Submissions shall consist of numbered paragraphs.
- 8. A bibliography or separate list of authorities is not required.
- 9. The word count for **each** submission shall not exceed **2,000 words** in length. This word limit includes the heading, titles and sub-titles, citations, and footnotes. The word count shall be indicated at the end of the submission. The indication of the word count does not count towards the word count.
- 10. The written submissions shall be received by the Moot Coordinator by 13:00 Pacific Standard Time (PST) on **10 November 2017**.
- 11. No alterations to the written submissions are permitted after this deadline.
- 12. All research, writing and editing relating to the written submissions shall be work of the registered team members. Team members are to conduct themselves in a manner that is fair, honest and consistent with the principles of professional and academic integrity.
- 13. The written submissions shall be assessed anonymously by judges, selected by the Competition Committee from the legal profession and /or academia.

E Oral Round

- 1. Each team will be assigned a team number by the Moot Coordinator. Teams will use this number on their outlines of argument. The names of the team members, their law school, or any other identifying information shall NOT appear anywhere in the document. Participants will avoid letting judges know from which law schools their teams appear.
- 2. The selection of teams for the Oral Rounds will be notified to teams by **1 December 2017**.
- 3. The general round will provide for each team to participate in up to four moots, arguing twice for the Appellant and twice for the Respondent.
- 4. The scope of the oral arguments is not limited by the written submissions, and the judges of the Hearing Rounds will not have copies of such documents. Instead, each team should prepare an outline of arguments in accordance with Section F for each of their Appellant and Respondent submissions.

F Outlines of Arguments

- Each team shall electronically file an outline of argument for the Appellant by 13:00 PST on 15 January 2018. Electronic filing means that the outlines shall be emailed to the Moot Coordinator, at <u>fletchermootcoordinator@allard.ubc.ca</u>
- 2. The Moot Coordinator will email the relevant team's Appellant's outline to each of the teams that will be arguing against that team as Respondent by 17:00 PST on the same day.
- 3. Each team shall file two outlines of arguments for the Respondent, one responding to each of the Appellant's outlines that they have received, by 13:00 PST on **29 January 2018**.
- 4. The Moot Coordinator will email each Respondent's outline to the relevant Appellant team by 17:00 PST on the same day.
- 5. Written submissions shall be typed in Microsoft Word for Windows (with .doc or .docx file extension), unless alternative arrangements are made with the Moot Coordinator. Each team shall give a filename to its Appellant outline in the form teamTTAppellantoutline.doc, and its two Respondent outlines teamTTresponsetoteamUU.doc and teamTTresponsetoteamVV.doc where TT is the team's number and for Respondent outlines, UU and VV are the teams to whom they are responding.
- 6. Outlines need no cover sheet or back sheet, but shall be headed in the style in Attachment D. The name of the Law School shall not appear on the outline.
 - a) Outlines shall be typed in no smaller than 12-point Times Roman font and shall not exceed **four A4 pages** in length. They should consist of numbered paragraphs. They may be single-spaced, with a single spaced blank line between paragraphs.

- 7. An Appellant's written outline of argument shall:
 - a) briefly identify the issues said to arise for determination on the appeal; and
 - b) set out the arguments for the Appellant, giving a reference to any authorities relied upon and, in particular, where it is contended that the judge below erred in law, the precise error or errors of law and the basis in principle or authority for that contention.
- 8. A Respondent's written outline of argument shall:
 - a) not repeat matters set out in the Appellant's outline of argument; and
 - b) summarize the Respondent's answers to the Appellant's arguments and give reference to authorities relied on.
- 9. An outline of argument shall not include a separate list of authorities.
- 10. Amendments to outlines of argument are not permitted except in accordance with Rule H5.

G Moot Procedures

- 1. All moots (except the final moot round) in the Competition will be held in the Moot Court precinct of the University of British Columbia, 1822 East Mall Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1. The semi-finals and final moot round will be held at the British Columbia Supreme Court and the BC Court of Appeal, The Law Courts, 400-800 Hornby Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6Z 2C5.
- 2. During Oral Rounds, all persons in the Court who are not actively involved in the hearing itself (*ie* members of the Bench, the bailiff and counsel) shall be seated *behind* the Bar Table.
- 3. Speakers, reserves or any persons affiliated with a team shall not attend any moot other than one in which their team is participating while that team is still competing.
- 4. Each team has thirty minutes in which to present its arguments. Each speaker shall speak for at least ten minutes.
- 5. Senior Counsel for each team will announce the time breakdown for the team when entering appearances. In the case of the team representing the Appellant, this allocation of time will include the time, if any, not exceeding five minutes reserved for rebuttal.
- 6. At the start of each moot each team will hand up to the bench an Appeal Book containing:-
 - (a) photocopies of the relevant material from all authorities and other materials referred to in argument, (eg legislation); and
 - (b) an index, or some other means (tabs etc) for finding relevant material.
- 7. Counsel will be heard in the following order:-
 - 1. Senior Counsel for the Appellant
 - 2. Junior Counsel for the Appellant
 - 3. Senior Counsel for the Respondent
 - 4. Junior Counsel for the Respondent
 - 5. One or other counsel for the Appellant may then exercise a right of reply (rebuttal), during which no new arguments may be raised ie, it should be confined to responses to arguments raised by the Respondents.
- 8. A judge may interrupt Counsel at any time to ask a question. Judges are expected to ask sufficient questions to test Counsel's understanding of matters relating to the problem, while allowing them fair opportunity to present their argument.
- 9. Counsel shall not, except in response to questions, introduce arguments not contained in their outline, but should be prepared to answer questions on all points relevant to the problem, whether contained in their outline or not.
- 10. A bailiff/timekeeper will be present in the court, and display a card showing the time remaining when there is 5 minutes, 1 minute and no time left, after which Counsel shall stop unless allowed to proceed by the bench. Extensions should not exceed a total of 2 minutes for each counsel.

- 11. The bailiff/timekeeper shall show the TIME card at the end of any extra time allotted to counsel. As far as practicable, both teams will receive the opportunity for similar periods of extension of time, if appropriate.
- 12. The Appellant may waive rebuttal time at the close of the Respondent's argument. However, the Appellant may not reallocate rebuttal time after the start of the moot.
- 13. A speaker for the Respondent is not allowed time for surrebuttal.
- 14. Wherever possible, each moot will be held before a panel of three judges appointed by the Competition Committee. However, for the purposes of these Rules, judging panels may be composed of either three or two judges.
- 15. Judges will identify any potential conflict of interest at the time of scheduling of Oral Rounds, and the Moot Coordinator will ensure that as far as possible no conflicts arise. Where a team believes that there is a conflict they may raise it with the Moot Coordinator for resolution. See below Rule I2.
- 16. Judges will be provided with a copy of the problem, the outlines of argument and the relevant cases before the hearing of each moot. The teams will announce appearances and hand up their Appeal Books at the start of the moot.
- 17. Judging and Criteria:
 - (a) Each Judge shall complete an individual marking sheet for each participant in a moot. A copy of that sheet is **Attachment B** at the end of these Rules.
 - (b) The presiding judge will add up the marks awarded by all judges using the marks totalling sheet (a copy of which is **Attachment C** at the end of these Rules).
 - (c) The winning team in each moot is the team that has the highest total marks. A draw is possible, but judges are asked to reconsider the marks before announcing a draw.
 - (d) The presiding judge will announce the winning team, but details of scores will not be made available, either orally or in writing, to the competitors until the Competition is completed, after which the Competition Committee will provide scores and rankings to each school's designated representative.
- 18. A verdict on the issues of law raised by the problem is not expected, but each judge may provide a short oral critique of the mooters' performance at the end of each moot.

H Semi-finals and Final

- 1. At the conclusion of the general round, the teams will be ranked according to:
 - Number of wins and losses, and where equal on that basis:
 - Ratio of total points scored over points scored against them.
- 2. If there are sufficient teams in the competition, the four highest-ranked teams from the rounds will participate in the semi-finals. The top-ranked team will moot against the fourth-ranked team, and the second-ranked team will moot against the third-ranked team. The team with the higher ranking will have the option of appearing for the Appellant or the Respondent in each semi-final.
- 3. The winners of each semi-final will moot in the final. In the final, the team with the highest semi-final marks will have the option of appearing for the Appellant or the Respondent.
- 4. If there are insufficient teams for a semi-final round then the two highest ranking teams from the general round will go through to the final.
- 5. The procedural rules in Section G above will apply in the semi-finals and final. However, teams may amend their outlines until final outlines are exchanged in accordance with this Rule:
 - A team appearing for the Appellant in the semi-final round shall give a copy of its final outline to the Respondent team no later than one hour after the teams for each semi-final or final are announced.
 - The Respondent team shall give a copy of its final outline to the Appellant no later than one hour after receipt of the Appellant's outline for the semi-final round.
 - A team appearing for the Appellant in the final round shall give a copy of its final outline to the Respondent team as soon as the finalists are announced.
 - A team appearing for the Respondent in the final round shall give a copy of its final outline to the Appellant team 30 minutes after receiving the Appellant's outline.
- 6. Electronic copies of all outlines shall be sent to the Moot Coordinator.

I Dispute Resolution

- 1. Any dispute about the conduct or administration of the Competition, the interpretation of the Rules or the conduct of a particular moot shall be referred to the Competition Committee as soon as possible after issues for dispute arise, and at the latest, before the winners of the Competition are announced.
- 2. The decisions of the Competition Committee, the Moot Coordinator and the Judges are final and are not open to appeal.

3. A judge's alleged conflict of interest shall be reported to the Moot Coordinator before that particular moot starts. Otherwise, the allegation will not be heard.

Attachment A IAN FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY LAW MOOT COMPETITION 2018

WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS: MARKING SHEET

Team Number

APPELLANT or RESPONDENT *

*strike out whichever is not applicable

Criteria		Comments	Score
Legal Analysis	 Knowledge and understanding of the relevant area of law and practice Understanding of legal issues, their interrelationship 		/20
Research	• Skills in finding and researching sources of relevant areas of law and /or practice		/30
Argument	 Logical structure, effective overview and conclusion Legal arguments relate to the facts Legal arguments based on a sound knowledge of the law 		/30
Style	 Clear and concise Correct citations Correct acknowledgement of all sources Logical/easy to follow Persuasive legal argument 		/20
		TOTAL	/100

As general guidance: the cut offs to distinguish different levels of achievement are: High Distinction = 85%; Distinction = 75%; Credit = 65%; Pass = 50%; Fail = less than 50% Thus, as a mark out of 20 the cut off is: HD = 17; D = 15; C = 13; P = 10. Thus, as a mark out of 30: HD = 25.5; D = 22.5; C = 19.5; P = 15.

Attachment B IAN FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY LAW MOOT COMPETITION 2018

ORAL ROUNDS: INDIVIDUAL MOOT MARKING SHEET

MOOT No.....,

between Team (Appellant) and Team (Respondent)

Name:	(Senior/Junior	* counsel for Appellant/Respondent*)
		* strike out whichever is not applicable

Criteria		Comments	Score
Content of oral	Logical structure, effective		
argument	overview and conclusion		
C	• Understanding of legal issues,		
	their interrelationship		
	• Legal arguments relate to the		
	facts, are based on a sound		
	knowledge of the law, and are		
	reasonably arguable in the		
	current state of the law		
	• Understands, addresses and		/30
	rebuts points of opponent		
Speaking ability and	• Courtroom style and manner		
delivery, including	of delivery – 'conversation'		
formal aspect of	with the bench while		
answering questions	sufficiently formal and		
	professional		
	Overall persuasiveness		
	• Speaks with conviction and		/40
	sincerity		
Substantive aspect of	• Understands object of		
answering questions	questions		
from the bench	• Answers questions correctly,		
	concisely and without evasion		
	• Sufficiently flexible to the		
	needs of the bench, while		
	effectively integrating answers		
	into argument		
	Remains composed under		/30
	stress		
		TOTAL	/100

As general guidance: the cut offs to distinguish different levels of achievement are: High Distinction = 85%; Distinction = 75%; Credit = 65%; Pass = 50%; Fail = less than 50% Thus, as a mark out of 30 the cut off is: HD = 25.5; D = 22.5; C = 19.5; P = 15 Thus, as a mark out of 40: HD = 34; D = 30; C = 26; P = 20.

Attachment C IAN FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY LAW MOOT COMPETITION 2018

ORAL ROUNDS MOOT MARKS-TOTALLING SHEET

MOOT No.....

The total marks awarded to each speaker by each judge shall be added together and then the totals for each team shall be calculated. The team with the highest number of marks is the moot winner.

Please announce the winning team but do not announce the details of the marks – but you are encouraged to give the mooters some general feedback on matters of presentation and style.

	Appellant – Team		Respondent – Team	
	Senior Counsel INSERT NAME	Junior Counsel INSERT NAME	Senior Counsel INSERT NAME	Junior Counsel INSERT NAME
Judge 1				
Judge 2				
Judge 3*				
Counsels' Totals (/300)	INSERT FOR TOP MOOTER	INSERT FOR TOP MOOTER	INSERT FOR TOP MOOTER	INSERT FOR TOP MOOTER
Team Totals (/600)				

* If only 2 judges sit, insert the average of Judge 1's and Judge 2's marks here.

Signature of presiding Judge:

Attachment D

IAN FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY LAW MOOT COMPETITION 2018

STYLE GUIDE FOR HEADING for WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS and for OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS FOR ORAL ROUNDS

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NUZILIA

Between

Xxxxxxx, Appellant

And

Yyyyyy, Respondent

SUBMISSION / OUTLINE OF ARGUMENT¹ FOR [APPELLANT/RESPONDENT] REPRESENTED BY TEAM TT

Where the names Xxxxxx and Yyyyyyy are taken from the problem, and TT is the team number.

The name of the Law School shall not appear on the outline.

¹ Strike out whichever is not applicable of Submission OR Outline of Argument.